Dear Rt Hon Anne-Marie Trevelyan,

I am writing to encourage you to reconsider the Advisory Mission's finding that the proposed scheme will have an adverse impact on the OUV of the WHS and that a southern bypass should be further explored. The Mission admitted that at the very least the western limit of the tunnel should be extended to the WHS boundary.

Multiple parties have voiced concern and logical, well referenced arguments against this scheme; including the government's independent specialist examiners, UNESCO's World Heritage Committee, and the former Transport Secretary himself, who agreed with the examining panel that the scheme would be "significantly adverse" overall. Meanwhile National Highways seems to be simply regurgitating old arguments without seriously looking into alternatives or managing to address the concerns in a satisfactory manner - something which is backed up by the High Court's judgement, based in part because the Transport Secretary had not given proper consideration to alternatives.

I most certainly continue to object to the proposals and hope that the scheme will be abandoned. Should the Transport Secretary intend to proceed with the scheme, I/we trust that it will be subject of another formal public Examination so that all of the new information submitted by National Highways and others since 2020 may be fully and openly discussed, and taken into account and advised upon by the Government's independent Planning Inspectorate.

Yours Sincerely, P. Longson